



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/846,607	04/30/2001	Gerard Harbers	PHNL 000222	4771	
24737	7590 04/29/2005		EXAM	INER	
PHILIPS IN	TELLECTUAL PROF	NGUYEN, CHANH DUY			
P.O. BOX 300 BRIARCLIFF	01 FMANOR, NY 10510	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2675		
			DATE MAILED: 04/29/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/846,607	HARBERS ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Chanh Nguyen	2675		

	Chanh	Nguyen	2675	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on t	he cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>06 April 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATIO	N IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving repl tice of A e with 3	ies: (1) an amendment, a ppeal (with appeal fee) in 7 CFR 1.114. The reply	affidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	dvisory A ater than b). ONLY	action, or (2) the date set for SIX MONTHS from the mai	ing date of the final reject	ion.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension a shortened than thre	nd the corresponding amou I statutory period for reply o	nt of the fee. The approporing the final Off	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion the	ereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of the	
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, in the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, in the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, in the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, in the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, in the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, in the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, in the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, in the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, in the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, in the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, in the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, in the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, in the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection (s) filed after a final rejection (s) filed after a final rejection (s) filed after a filed</li></ol>	nsiderat			ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or				the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a consideration in the new limitation in the new limit	light en he disp	nitted by the light-emitting lay device to independen	n diodes varies in respo t claims 1, 13-14 requi	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12				(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:		•	
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			will be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: <u>5</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4 and 6-20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			·	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>				
9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercom y and wa	e <u>all</u> rejections under ap <sub>l</sub> as not earlier presented.	peal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the	status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does h	NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SI	3/08 or PTO-1449) Pape	Chanh Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2675	eym